Low Energy Resonances in CO - para-H₂ Inelastic Collisions

 $\frac{\text{Simon Chefdeville}^{1,2}, \text{Thierry Stoecklin}^{1,2}, \text{Astrid Bergeat}^{1,2}, \text{Kevin M.}}{\text{Hickson}^{1,2}, \text{Christian Naulin}^{1,2} \text{ and Michel Costes}^{1,2}}$

¹Université de Bordeaux, Institut des Sciences Moléculaires, F-33400 Talence, France ²CNRS, UMR 5255, F-33400 Talence, France

In this presentation we will present results on the study of inelastic collisions between CO and *para*-H₂, at collision energies approaching the cold regime. These results will be compared with quantum mechanical calculations.

The CO $(j = 0) + H_2$ $(j = 0) \rightarrow CO$ $(j = 1) + H_2$ (j = 0) process is of particular importance in astrophysics. Theoretical calculations predict that this system is dominated by quantum effects called resonances [1]. These resonances can only be revealed at very low energies, and their experimental observation provides a test for the theoretical models.

Experiments were performed using a crossed molecular beam apparatus, combining a variable beam intersection angle and cryogenically cooled fast-pulsed valves [2]. The CO and H₂ beams were characterized using resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization time-of-flight spectrometry. The lowest collision energy reached was 3.3 cm⁻¹, which is below the threshold of the ($j = 0 \rightarrow 1$) rotational transition in CO at 3.85 cm⁻¹. The experimental excitation function shows a sharp rise at threshold followed by successive waves, evidencing the underlying resonance structures. This is the first observation of scattering resonances in an inelastic process [3].

In parallel, quantum mechanical scattering calculations were performed using the CO-H₂ *ab initio* potential energy surface determined by Jankowski and Szalewicz [4]. Comparison between the experimental and theoretical excitation function shows that agreement depends critically on the details of the surface that is used to perform the scattering calculations.

References

- [1] B. Yang, Ap. J. **718**, 1062 (2010)
- [2] C. Berteloite, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 203201 (2010)
- [3] S. Chefdeville, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 023201 (2012)
- [4] P. Jankowski and K. Szalewicz, J. Chem. Phys. 123, 104301 (2005)